



Siltronic Meeting

Kristine Koch to: MCCLINCY.Matt

02/08/2006 09:04 AM

Cc: ANDERSON.Jim, Chip Humphrey, Eric Blischke

Sorry I missed you all last week. I thought that you weren't able to patch me in and went to another meeting. I was out of the office Thurs and Fri (b) (6) and have spent this week preparing to go to Alaska to consult with tribes on a permit I wrote for Water (I leave tonight and come back Friday) - Argh!

Anyway, I read the work plan and what struck me as odd is that they believe that Area 2 is from the storm water discharge. I think that this would be highly unlikely because TCE would readily volatilize and break down when discharged to water rather than adhere to the sediment due to Gasco plume. I think this is apparent in Area 1 where the TCE is mostly vinyl chloride in the TZW. If the TCE did preferentially adhere to the Gasco plume, then how is their treatment going to work? Also, I don't think that Area 2 is "source control". It seems to be unconnected to any upland source. I would think that this is strictly in-water.

Any thoughts?

Kristine Koch
Remedial Project Manager
USEPA, Office of Environmental Cleanup
1200 Sixth Avenue, M/S ECL-111
Seattle, WA 98101
(206)553-6705
(206)553-0124 (fax)